

Jose

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.140 OF 2021

DR. THILAK THOMAS JOHN

... Petitioner.

Versus

STATE OF GOA, THR. THE CHIEF

SECRETARY, GOVT. OF GOA AND 3 ORS. ... Respondents.

Mr. S.D. Lotlikar, Senior Advocate with Ms. Sailee Kenny,
Advocate *for the Petitioner*.

Mr. Devidas Pangam, Advocate General with Mr. Prashil Arolkar,
Additional Government Advocate *for the State*.

Mr. A. Sardessai, Advocate *for Respondent No.4*.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.151 OF 2021

DR. SHREYAS NAIK

... Petitioner.

Versus

THE STATE OF GOA, THR.

ITS CHIEF SECRETARY AND 2 ORS. ... Respondents.

Mr. D. Lawande with Mr. Gauravvardhan Nadkarni, Advocates *for the Petitioner*.

Mr. Devidas Pangam, Advocate General with Mr. Deep Shirodkar,
Additional Government Advocate *for the State*.

Mr. Nikhil Vaze, Advocate *for Respondent No.3*.

**CORAM: M.S. SONAK &
R.N. LADDHA, JJ.**

DATED: 9th March 2022

P.C:

1. After these matters were heard for considerable amount of time and even before the hearings could conclude, the learned Advocate General, based on the decision of the Government, makes the following statements:-

(i) That insofar as Writ Petition No.151/2021 is concerned, the candidates on the wait-list, including the Petitioner Dr. Shreyas Naik, will now be appointed to the post of Senior Resident of the concerned speciality, against the vacancies that have now arisen.

(ii) That insofar as the Petitioner in Writ Petition No.140/2021 is concerned, the learned Advocate General states that even this Petitioner is on the wait-list for appointment as Senior Resident in Oral Medicine and Radiology. He, however, states that at present, there is no vacancy in this speciality. He further states that a decision has now been taken to create a supernumerary post against which even this Petitioner can be appointed as a Senior Resident. He, accordingly, states that even this Petitioner will be appointed as Senior Resident in the above speciality.

2. The learned Counsel for the Petitioners are satisfied with the decision of the Government and the statements now made by the learned Advocate General. They, however, submit that some outer time-line be fixed for issuance of actual appointment orders.

3. Now that the Government has already taken a decision, we think that the necessary appointment orders in terms of the same should be issued within four weeks from today.

4. The Petitioners now request that these petitions be disposed of. Accordingly, these petitions are disposed of.

5. All concerned to act on authenticated copy of this order.

R.N. LADDHA, J.

M.S. SONAK, J.