

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**919 WRIT PETITION NO.2574 OF 2017
WITH CA/11935/2021 IN WP/2574/2017 WITH CA/11096/2019 IN
WP/2574/2017 WITH CA/9234/2017 IN WP/6316/2017 WITH
CA/7874/2017 IN WP/2574/2017 WITH WP/6316/2017 WITH
CA/11936/2021 IN WP/2574/2017**

**ANIL SHAMRAO PATIL AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Patil Vinod Prakash
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 : Mr. K. N. Lokhande
Advocate for Respondent No. 3 : Mr. S.P. Brahme
Advocate for Respondent No. 4 : Mr. Chaitanya V. Dharurkar

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SANDEEP V. MARNE, JJ.**

DATE : 30.08.2022.

PER COURT :

The petitioners' grievance is about unpaid salary according to prescribed scale.

3. After hearing both the sides, it is clear that the parties are unanimous that the matter would legitimately lie before a grievance committee under Section 79 subsection 6 of the Maharashtra Public Universities Act, 2016. It was pointed out that in a similar matter this Court had directed the Director of Education to undertake a scrutiny as to the allegations regarding unpaid salary. Keeping open all the grievances to be put up before the grievance committee, which is a statutory remedy, the writ petitions are disposed of.

4. The grievance committee shall take decision within four months of filing of the petition by the petitioners.

5. All the pending applications are disposed of.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

mkd/-