

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 14479 OF 2019

1. Vandana Vinod Bhavsar,
Age 47 years, Occu. Nil.,
R/o. Padamnabh Nagar, Sakri Road,
Dhule, Tq. And Dist. Dhule. **...Petitioner.**

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Secretary,
Rural Development and Water Conservation
Department, Bandhkam Bhavan, Ground Floor,
Merzbaan Road, Fort, Mumbai.
2. The Project Director,
District Rural Development Agency,
Dhule, District Dhule,
3. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Dhule,
Tq. And District Dhule. **....Respondents.**

...

Mr. S.S. Wagh, Advocate for petitioner.

Mrs. M.A. Deshpande, AGP for respondent No. 1.

Mr. N.N. Desale, Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

...

**CORAM : R. D. DHANUKA &
S. G. MEHARE, JJ.**

DATED : 07/03/2022.

ORAL JUDGMENT :

1. Rule. The learned AGP waives service for respondent No.
1. Learned counsel Mr. N.N. Desale waives service for respondent Nos.

2 and 3. Rule made returnable forthwith. By consent of parties, heard finally.

2. In this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to absorb the petitioner on Class III or Class IV post as per her qualification and also seeks order to consider the representations dated 8th July 2019, 11th July 2019 and 19th August 2019. The learned counsel for the petitioner has pressed relief claimed in prayer clause 'D'.

3. The learned counsel for respondent No. 3 on instruction states that the representations mentioned in prayer clause 'D' would be considered within six weeks from today after granting personal hearing to the petitioner. The statement is accepted.

4. The petitioner is directed to remain present before the respondent No. 3 on 15th March 2022 at 11.00 a.m. The respondent No. 3 shall grant personal hearing to the petitioner and thereafter shall decide the representations within six weeks from the date of appearance of the petitioner. The petitioner shall not seek any unnecessary adjournments. The order passed by the respondent No. 3 shall be communicated within one week from the date of passing of the order. If the order is in favour of the petitioner, consequential

reliefs shall be granted to the petitioner within four weeks from such order. If the order is against the petitioner, the petitioner would be at liberty to file appropriate proceedings.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any view on merit of the representations made by the petitioner. The representations shall be decided on its own merits. All contentions of both the parties are kept open. Writ petition is disposed of accordingly. Rule is made absolute in aforesaid terms.

6. Parties to act on authenticated copy of this order.

[S. G. MEHARE, J.]

[R. D. DHANUKA, J.]

ssc/