

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

921 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3467 OF 2018
IN FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.37797 OF 2017

JAYKU NANABHAU NIRVAL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & OTHERS

...

Advocate for the applicant : Mr.D.M.Kakade
AGP for Respondent-State : Mr.A.B.Chate
Advocate for respondent no.3 : Mr.A.M.Gaikwad

...

CORAM : S.G.DIGE, J.
DATE : 09.06.2022

P.C. :

1] Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the respondents.

2] It is the contention of the learned counsel for respondent no. 3 that no sufficient reasons are given for condoning the delay. If this Court comes to the conclusion that, the delay should be condoned, in that case, the interest may not be given for delayed period.

3] Considering the reasons mentioned in the Application, delay is condoned. The applicant shall not claim interest for delayed period.

4] Appeal be registered subject to removal of office objections, if any.

[S.G.DIGE]
JUDGE

DDC