

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

947 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3482 OF 2022
IN FAST/34284/2021 WITH CA/3483/2022 IN FAST/34366/2021

SUSHILABAI W/O RAOSAHEB PHAD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR, LATUR AND
OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. S. S. Chillarge
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 & 2: Mr. P. N. Kutti
....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 29/07/2022.

....

P. C. :

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicants as well as learned AGP for respondent Nos.1 & 2.

2. The respondent No.3 despite service, remained absent. There appears large delay of 2000 days. However, the learned counsel for the applicants submits that though the impugned award was passed in the year 2016, but the amount of compensation was deposited in the year 2020.

3. The learned AGP strongly opposed the applications.

4. As such, for the reasons stated in both the applications and considering the statutory right of the applicants, the delay of 2000 days in challenging the impugned award is hereby condoned

subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the said period.

5. The appeals be placed for admission subject to removal of office objections, if any.

6. The civil applications stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

VS Maind/-