

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.14632 OF 2021

**BALAJI MANIKRAO BIRADAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...

Mr.S.K. Mathpati, advocate for the petitioner.

Mrs.R.P. Gaur, AGP for respondent/State.

...

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.5630 OF 2021

**BALIRAM CHANGDEO BHOSALE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...

Mr.S.K. Mathpati, advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.P.K. Lakhotiya, AGP for respondent nos.1, 2, 5 & 6.

...

AND

**WRIT PETITION NO.9464 OF 2021
BHAGWAN KISAN SHELKE AND OTHERS
VERSUS**

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr.S.K. Mathpati, advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.S.G. Sangle, AGP for respondent nos.1 and 2.

...

AND

**WRIT PETITION NO.9465 OF 2021
RAJANI ANANT DEHADE AND OTHERS
VERSUS**

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr.S.K. Mathpati, advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.P.S. Patil, Addl. GP for respondent nos.1, 2, 4 and 5.

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.9466 OF 2021
SHRISHAILYA KALYANRAO PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr.S.K. Mathpati, advocate for the petitioner.
Mrs.M.A. Deshpande, AGP for respondent nos.1, 2 and 4.

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.9467 OF 2021
DHONDU HACHU PAWAR AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr.S.K. Mathpati, advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.PK. Lakhotiya, AGP for respondent nos.1 and 2.
Mr.S.S. Tope, advocate for respondent nos.3, 4 and 5

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.9468 OF 2021
NARAYAN KRISHNAJI DHUMAL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr.S.K. Mathpati, advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.S.R. Yadav, AGP for respondent nos.1 and 2.
Mr.U.B. Bondar, advocate for respondent nos.3 to 5.

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.9469 OF 2021
SUNANDA RAJARAM SHINDE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr.S.K. Mathpati, advocate for the petitioner.
Mrs.R.P. Gaur, AGP for respondents.

...

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.9470 OF 2021
PRAMOD NARAYANRAO DASARE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr.S.K. Mathpati, advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.S.G. Sangle, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 4.

...

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.9505 OF 2021
MAHADEO SAKHARAM DABHADE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr.S.K. Mathpati, advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.PS. Patil, Addl. GP for respondent nos.1 and 2.

Mr.PD. Suryawanshi, advocate for respondent nos.3 to 5.

...

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.13560 OF 2019
DIGAMBAR MUNJAJI BHASKE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr.S.N. Janakwade, advocate for the petitioners.

Mrs.R.P. Gaur, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 3.

...

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.11669 OF 2019

RAJENDRA GURUPPA HULSURE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr.PB. Rakhunde, advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.PS. Patil, Addl. GP for respondent no.1.

Mr.S.D. Dhongade, advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.15162 OF 2019
MANIK LINGRAM HARIDAS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr.PB. Rakhunde, advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.S.R. Yadav, AGP for respondent no.1.
Mr.S.B. Patil, advocate for respondent no.5.

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.3147 OF 2021
GANESHRao SHANKARRAO SHINDE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr.S.K. Mathpati, advocate for the petitioners.
Mrs.R.P. Gaur, AGP for respondent nos.1 and 2.
Mr.V.S. Panpatte, advocate for respondent no.3.

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.7483 OF 2021
SHRIRAM DEVIDAS JOSHI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr.PA. Pisal, advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.PK. Lakhotiya, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 3.

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.7508 OF 2021
PRAKASH DHONDU WANI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr.PA. Pisal, advocate for the petitioner.
Mrs.M.A. Deshpande, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 3.

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.7509 OF 2021
SURESH BALIRAM GOLAHIT
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr.PA. Pisal, advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.PS. Patil, Addl. GP for respondent nos.1 to 3.

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.8435 OF 2021
WITH WP/8461/2021 WITH WP/8462/2021 WITH
WP/8488/2021 WITH WP/8494/2021
BABAN RAGHUNATH ADIK
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr.PA. Pisal, advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.S.R. Yadav, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 3.
Mr.PS. Paranjape, advocate for respondent no.4

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.9996 OF 2021
DEEPAK DODHU NARKHEDE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr.PA. Pisal, advocate for the petitioner.
Mrs.R.P. Gaur, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 3.

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.9998 OF 2021
REJENDRA BHATU PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr.PA. Pisal, advocate for the petitioner.
Mrs.M.A. Deshpande, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 3.

...

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.13208 OF 2019
SHIVAJI RAMRAO TIDKE DIED THROUGH L.RS.
SMT. VIJAYA SHIVAJI TIDKE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mrs.S.S. Dhongade Upadhyaya, advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.PK. Lakhotiya, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 11.

...

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.13205 OF 2019
NARENDRA PANDHARINATH BORSE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mrs.S.S. Dhongade Upadhyaya, advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.PK. Lakhotiya, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 3.

...

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.11550 OF 2021
ASARAM NIVRUTTIRAO ADHAPURE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mrs.S.S. Dhongade Upadhyaya, advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.PK. Lakhotiya, AGP for respondent nos.1 and 2.
Mr.S.B. Mene, advocate for respondent nos.3 and 4

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
ANIL L. PANSARE, JJ.**

DATED : 19th JULY, 2022.

PER COURT:-

1. This is a motion for speaking to the minutes of the order dated 04.05.2022, more specifically to the extent of paragraph No. 12. It is pointed out that in paragraph No. 12, the three years preceding the

dates of the filing of the writ petition, is wrongly typed as 'preceding the dates of their superannuation'.

2. In view of the above, this motion is allowed. Paragraph No. 12 in the common judgment dated 04.05.2022 shall read as under :-

“12. Considering these aspects, we are of the view that the arrears of such benefits as granted by us in paragraph 9 hereinabove, could be restricted for a reasonable period. As such, these petitioners would be entitled for the arrears of such benefits for a period of three years preceding the dates of the filing of the petitions or as per actuals, which ever is less. We direct the payment of such arrears accordingly and expect such payment to be made to these petitioners, on or before 30/08/2022.”

3. Corrected copy of the order be uploaded.

(ANIL L. PANSARE)
JUDGE

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE)
JUDGE

P.S.B.