

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

929 WRIT PETITION NO.12306 OF 2022

TANAJI TUKARAM SHEJUL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
ITS SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Kale Mahesh P.
GP for the respondent – State : Mr. D.R. Kale
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
Y. G. KHOBRADE, JJ.**

DATE : 6 DECEMBER 2022

PC :

Heard the learned advocate for the petitioner and the learned AGP.

2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that in spite of he having moved an application in form A-1 in accordance with Rule 11 of the Arms Rules, 2016 under the Arms Act, 1959 on 18-01-2021, and in spite of there being a report of the Superintendent of Police, his application is not being decided by the respondent no. 2 – Collector.

3. We dispose of the writ petition by directing the respondent no. 2 – Collector to decide the petitioner's application on its own merits as early as possible and in any event within eight weeks from today.

[Y. G. KHOBRADE]
JUDGE

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

arp/