

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.11847 OF 2022

SWAMI VIVEKANAND SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDALS THROUG
ITSPRINCIPAL DR GOPAL RAMRAO PAWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND
OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Shinde Abasaheb D.
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. P. S. Patil
Advocate for Respondent No.4: Mr. U. S. Malte
Advocate for Respondent No.5: Mr. M. D. Narwadkar
...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.

DATE : 29th November, 2022

PER COURT :

1. The petitioner/Education Society has put-forth prayer clauses
(B), (C) & (D) as under;

“B) Issue a writ of mandamus or writ in the nature of mandamus to the respondent Nos.1 to 5 to include the name of the petitioner B.Ed college on centralized admission process (CAP) online portal and permit the petitioner to admit the students for two units (100 seats) for the academic year 2022-23, forthwith.

C) Issue a writ of certiorari or any other writ order or direction in the nature of certiorari, to quash and set aside impugned communication dated 02.11.2022 issued by respondent No.4 university thereby refusing to grant 50 (1 Unit) intake capacity of student.

D) Pending the hearing and final disposal of this writ petition by way of appropriate writ, order or directions that respondent Nos.1 to 5 be directed to include the name of the petitioner B.Ed. College on centralized admission process (CAP) online portal and permit the petitioner to admit the students for two units (100 seats) for the academic year 2022-23, forthwith.”

2. Before this matter could be heard since it was granted urgent circulation for today, the learned advocate for the petitioner has placed on record a communication received from respondent no.4/ University, dated 24.11.2022, as **Revised Certificate** indicating 100 intake capacity for the academic year 2022-2023. The said certificate is taken on record and marked as ‘X’ for identification.

3. The learned advocate for the petitioner submits that since the certificate - ‘X’ has been issued, this petition would not survive and can be disposed off.

4. In view of the above, this petition stands disposed off.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)