

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**913 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.12717 OF 2021
IN FAST/29928/2021**

**HARIBHAU BHANUDAS SAWADE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR S.L.A.O. AURANGABAD AND
ANOTHER**

...

**AND
914 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.52 OF 2022
IN FAST/32845/2021**

**ABARAO GIRJABA NANGURDE (DIED) THR LRS YAMUNABAI (DIED)
THR LRS MACHINDRA AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE S.L.A.O. AURANGABAD
AND ANOTHER**

...

**AND
915 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.62 OF 2022
IN FAST/33396/2021**

**RAMDAS NAMDEO NIMONE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE S.L.A.O. AURANGABAD
AND ANOTHER**

...

**Advocate for Applicants : Ms. Sangeeta Pahune Patil h/f.
Mr. Pahune Patil N.J
AGP for Respondent/s – State : Mr. P. N. Kutti
Mr. P. M. Kulkarni
Mr. S. N. Kendre**

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 19.10.2022

PER COURT :

Heard rival submissions. The respondent No. 2 -Acquiring Body though served, but remained absent. The respective applicants in all these applications are seeking condonation of delay periods as mentioned in these applications. The delay appears to be caused due to their financial crisis. The learned AGP strongly opposed the applications on the ground that the delay is not properly explained.

2. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants, the delay periods as mentioned in these applications are condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the said delay periods.

3. Appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

4. The applications are disposed of accordingly.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

.....

shp/-