

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

120 WRIT PETITION NO.11997 OF 2022

ATUL SURESHRAO KULKARNI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Eknath G. Irale
AGP for Respondent No.1 and 2: Mr. S.K. Tambe
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. S.S. Tope

.....

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.
DATED : 8th DECEMBER, 2022.**

PER COURT :-

1. In this petition, the learned advocate for the petitioner submits, on instructions, that the petitioner would be satisfied if the respondent No.2 is directed to decide the common representation dated 14.09.2016, on it's own merits.

2. The learned A.G.P. appears on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri Tope, the learned advocate, appears on behalf of respondent No.3.

3. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off. We expect, respondent No.2 shall decide the common representation dated 14.09.2016, filed by the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible and in any case, on or before 31.01.2023, on it's own merits and in

accordance with the Rules and policies, applicable.

4. Needless to state, the available vacancies in the State of Maharashtra can be considered, as the petitioner is willing to work anywhere in the State of Maharashtra.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE , J.)

rlj/