

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

12 WRIT PETITION NO.13927 OF 2021

VIJAY KONDIBA KHEDKAR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Bhange D.B.

AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 : Mr. A.S. Shinde

Advocate for Respondent No. 3 : Mr. S.G. Rudrawar

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SANDEEP V. MARNE, JJ.

DATE : 12.09.2022.

PER COURT :

We have heard both the sides.

2. Though the matter is appearing in the order matters category, the learned advocate for the petitioner submits that during pendency of the petition a pension proposal has been forwarded by the management without issuing any no objection certificate as is expected. He adverts attention to the circular dated 21.01.2010 (Exh. 'H') which *inter alia* expects the Education Officer (Secondary) to take appropriate decision and steps wherever pension proposals cannot be finalized due to inaction on the part of the management.

3. In view of such state of affairs, we dispose of the writ petition by directing the respondent No. 2 to take appropriate decision in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case and in the light of the observations made herein above, as early as possible and in any case within four weeks from today.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

mkd/-