

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

910 WRIT PETITION NO.11443 OF 2022

NANA WAMAN KSHIRSAGAR AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Balaji S. Shinde.
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 : Mr. S. G. Sangle.
Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 & 4 : Mr. S. B. Ghute.
...

CORAM : **RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.**

DATE : 18th November, 2022.

P.C.:

1. The petitioners have put forth prayer clause [B] as under :-

“[B] By issuing writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or directions in the like nature, respondents no. 3, Chief Executive Officer may kindly be directed to consider and decide claims/representations of the petitioners annexed at **EXH-C** in the light of circular dtd 28.06.2018 issued by respondent no.1, for changing the postings of the petitioners by opening the posts of compulsory vacancies.”

2. The learned Advocate representing respondent Nos.3 and 4 submits, on instructions, that the representations put forth by the petitioners would be decided by the respondents within four weeks.

3. In view of the above, the petitioners are satisfied.
4. The Writ Petition is, therefore, disposed off.
5. Respondent Nos.3 and 4 should respond to the representations within four weeks and convey the decision to the petitioners, on or before 15th January, 2023, in the light of the circular dated 28.06.2018.

nga

[SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.]

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.]