

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

909 WRIT PETITION NO.11436 OF 2022

SUJATA RAMRAO BHANDARE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND OTHERS

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.11437 OF 2022

LAXMAN PANDHARINATH TOTEWAD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Balaji S. Shinde.

AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 : Mr. S. G. Sangle.

Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 & 4 : Mr. S. B. Ghute.

...

CORAM : **RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.**

DATE : 18th November, 2022.

P.C.:

1. The petitioners have put forth an identical prayer clause [B],
which reads as under :-

“[B] By issuing writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or directions in the like nature, respondents no. 3, Chief Executive Officer, may kindly be directed to consider and decide claims/representations of the petitioners annexed at **EXHIBIT-E** in the light of clause no.14.1 of Government Resolution dtd. 07.04.2021, for giving postings to the petitioners as per their choices in

Zilha Parishad as they are Special Category-1 teachers.”

2. The learned Advocate representing respondent Nos.3 and 4 submits, on instructions, that the representations put forth by the petitioners would be decided by the respondents within four weeks.

3. In view of the above, the petitioners are satisfied.

4. These Writ Petitions are, therefore, disposed off.

5. Respondent Nos.3 and 4 should respond to the representations within four weeks and convey the decision to the petitioners, on or before 15th January, 2023, in the light of the circular dated 07.04.2021.

nga

[SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.]

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.]