

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

907 WRIT PETITION NO.11221 OF 2022

**JYOTI ROHIDAS TENGASE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS
SECRETARY AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for the Petitioners : Shri Shinde Balaji S.
AGP for the Respondents/State : Shri S.G.Karlekar
Advocate for Respondents 3 and 4 : Shri Suhas B. Ghute

...

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
&
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.**

DATE :- 11th November, 2022

Per Court :-

1. The petitioners have put forth prayer clause [B] as
under :-

“[B] By issuing writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or directions in the like nature, respondents no. 3, Chief Executive Officer may kindly be directed to consider and decide claims/representations of the petitioners annexed at Exhibit C in the light of circular dtd 28.06.2018 issued by respondent no.1, for changing the postings of the petitioners by opening the posts of compulsory vacancies.”

2. The learned Advocate representing respondent Nos.
3 and 4 submits, on instructions, that the representation put forth

by the petitioners would be decided by the respondents within four weeks.

3. In view of the above, the petitioners are satisfied.

4. This Writ Petition is, therefore, disposed off.

5. Respondent Nos.3 and 4 should respond to the representation within four weeks and convey the decision to the petitioners, on or before 25th December, 2022, in the light of the circular dated 28.06.2018.

kps (SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.) (RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)