

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

68 WRIT PETITION NO.11504 OF 2022

**PRAVIN BHAGWAN PAWAR AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE RURAL DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Tungar Hrishikesh V.
AGP for Respondents/State : Mrs. M.A. Deshpande
Advocate for R/2 : Mr. Kadethankar Ajit B.

...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
Y.G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.
DATE : 21-11-2022**

PC. :-

Heard.

2. The petitioners who are two individuals are challenging the formation of ward and distribution of voters. The learned advocate for the petitioners submits that the petitioners had submitted an objection dated 21.10.2022 and still the formation of wards and voters list has been finalised which is arbitrary and illegal.

3. The learned advocate Mr. Kadethankar for the State Election Commission opposes the petition stating that under the guise of challenging

ward formation the petitioners are in substance putting up a challenge to the voters list which has been finalised. Causing any interference at this stage would tantamount to stalling the imminent election process..

4. We have considered the rival submissions. The objection put up by the petitioners vide communication dated 21.10.2022 does not make out a clear and concrete ground albeit their learned advocate now submits that they are intending to challenge the formation of wards.

5. Considering the fact that the objections being raised by the petitioners would have an affect on the rights of several other voters to cast their vote from a particular ward and those who have not been made parties and have no grievance to continue their names in a particular voters list of a specific ward, we are afraid this Court cannot exercise writ jurisdiction affecting so many persons merely because of the request of petitioner who are only couple of individuals.

6. The Writ Petition is dismissed.

[Y.G. KHOBRADE, J.]

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]