

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

936 WRIT PETITION NO.12017 OF 2019

**GAJANAN RANGRAO DOMBE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr.Salgare Vitthal G.
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 : Mr.S.B.Yawalkar
Advocate for Respondent No. 5 : Mr.Ghatol Patil Shahaji B.

...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SANDEEP V. MARNE, JJ.**

DATE : 29th JULY, 2022.

PER COURT :

1. Heard both sides finally with consent.
2. The father of the petitioner died in the year 2009 while in service as a daily wage employee with the respondent No. 5 Corporation. His father was subsequently regularised. The petitioner applied for appointment on compassionate ground within the prescribed time, but his case was not considered and the matter is still lying with respondent No. 5, without any decision.
3. The learned Advocate Mr.Salgare for the petitioner, submits that the case of the petitioner is covered by the subsequent Government Resolution dated 11.07.2019 and even by the judgment of this Court in the matter of **Mahek s/o Laxman Pusollu Vs The**

State of Maharashtra Through its Principal Secretary Urban Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32 and Ors.- Writ Petition No. 9334 of 2017 dated 2.12.2019.

4. Since the matter is still to be decided by respondent No. 5, we feel it appropriate to direct him to take a decision.

5. We dispose of the Writ Petition with a direction to respondent No. 5 to decide the petitioner's application on its own merits as early as possible.

**(SANDEEP V. MARNE)
JUDGE**

**(MANGESH S. PATIL)
JUDGE**

mahajansb/