

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**932 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14901 OF 2015
IN RAST/31373/2015**

**RAM GANPATI DHULE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. S. K. Adkine
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Mr. P. N. Kutti

....

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 28/09/2022.**

P. C. :

1. The applicant is seeking delay of 11 years and 11 days in filing review application.
2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the delay has been caused mainly due to the other connected matters, wherein appeals were preferred, were decided after 11 years and thereafter the present applicant took out the review application for getting same treatment as decided in the aforesaid appeals.
3. The learned AGP strongly opposed the application on the ground that there is huge delay and the explanation is not proper. He further submits that the review is also not maintainable.
4. However, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in many other matters arising out of land acquisition, has directed that the delay in such matter needs to be considered liberally. In view of the same, though the delay is huge, but in the interest of justice it stands condoned subject to condition that the applicant shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid period of delay, if he gets any

enhanced amount of compensation. The review application be registered after removal of office objections, if any. The application is accordingly stands disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

VS Maind/-