

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

951 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8101 OF 2022 IN FAST/30856/2021
WITH CA/8108/2022 IN FAST/30866/2021
WITH CA/8106/2022 IN FAST/30864/2021
WITH CA/8103/2022 IN FAST/30860/2021
WITH CA/8110/2022 IN FAST/30868/2021
WITH CA/8104/2022 IN FAST/30862/2021
WITH CA/8102/2022 IN FAST/30858/2021

ANIL BABURAO RATHOD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR, JALNA AND
ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. V. B. Patil
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Mr. P. N. Kutti
Advocate for Respondent No.3: Mr. B. S. Deshmukh

....

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 28/09/2022.**

P. C. :

1. Heard.
2. The applicants-original claimants in all these applications are seeking condonation of delay of 2920 days in filing the appeals, which according to them, has been caused due to their poor financial condition.
3. The learned AGP as well the learned counsel for the acquiring body strongly opposed the applications on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay.
4. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay of 2920 days stands condoned subject to

condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the period of aforesaid delay periods.

5. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

6. The respective appeals be placed for admissions after removal of office objections, if any.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)