

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 697 OF 2016
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 3813 OF 2015**

Ghansham Bharat Chaudhary .. Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents
Mr. R. S. Pawar, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. S. G. Karlekar, AGP for Respondents-State.

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 77 OF 2018
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 6557 OF 2016**

Rajabhau Devidas Bhutekar .. Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents
Mr. R. S. Pawar, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. S. G. Karlekar, AGP for Respondents-State.

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 39 OF 2017
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 6589 OF 2014**

Dnyaneshwar Govindrao Naganpalle
and others .. Petitioners
Versus
Shri Nandkumar,
Secretary of School Education and
Sports Department and others .. Respondents
Mr. V. G. Kodale, Advocate h/f Mr. V. D. Gunale, Advocate for the
Petitioners.
Mr. S. G. Karlekar, AGP for Respondents-State.

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 369 OF 2016
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 452 OF 2015**

Abhijit Kishor Patil and others	.. Petitioners
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and others	.. Respondents
Mr. A. R. Syed, Advocate h/f Mr. S. P Brahme, Advocate for the Petitioners.	
Mr. S. G. Karlekar, AGP for Respondents-State.	
Mr. B. B. Kulkarni, Advocate for Respondent No. 5.	

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 282 OF 2018
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 5794 OF 2015**

Pankaj Kantilal Kate and others	.. Petitioners
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and another	.. Respondents
Mr. R. S. Pawar, Advocate for the Petitioners.	
Mr. S. G. Karlekar, AGP for Respondents-State.	

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 283 OF 2018
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 3813 OF 2015**

Savita Madhukar Suryawanshi and others	.. Petitioners
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and another	.. Respondents
Mr. R. S. Pawar, Advocate for the Petitioners.	
Mr. S. G. Karlekar, AGP for Respondents-State.	

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 284 OF 2018
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 3811 OF 2015**

Ramesh Chintaman Wagh and others	.. Petitioners
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and another	.. Respondents

Mr. R. S. Pawar, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Mr. S. G. Karlekar, AGP for Respondents-State.

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
ANIL L. PANSARE, JJ.**

DATED : 19th JULY, 2022.

PER COURT:-

1. Contempt Petition No. 77 of 2018 is not on board. Taken on board.
2. The name of the petitioner No. 2 in Contempt Petition No. 369 of 2016 be corrected as "Sarika".
3. The learned advocates representing the petitioners submit that identical contempt petitions have already been disposed of with certain directions vide order dated 01.07.2022. These petitions were left out, due to inadvertence on the part of the petitioners.
4. The learned advocates for the petitioners submit on instructions that the Education Officer has already submitted the chart of calculations on the basis of which the amounts have been quantified and are to be disbursed. None of these petitioners object to the said calculations, though there may be very minor figures which may not tally, but these petitioners do not make an issue out of it. They are willing to accept the calculations as set out in the affidavit in reply by

the Education Officer.

5. In view of the above, these petitions are disposed off. As there is no dispute as regard the calculations made, we expect the concerned authorities to disburse the amounts to the petitioners as per their shares quantified, on or before 15.10.2022 considering the statement made in the affidavit in reply.

6. Needless to state, our order dated 01.07.2022 would apply to the petitioners in Contempt Petition No. 39 of 2017 and Contempt Petition No. 77 of 2018, which are also disposed off by this order.

(ANIL L. PANSARE)
JUDGE

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE)
JUDGE

P.S.B.