

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

916 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4244 OF 2022
IN X-OBJST/30726/2021

UTTAM VITHAL BHAKARE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR
THE COLLECTOR, OSMANABAD AND ORS.

Advocate for Applicants : Mr A.B. Kale
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr S.N. Morampalle
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mrs Kalpalata Patil Bharaswadkar

WITH

WITH CA/4238/2022 IN X-OBJST/30693/2021 WITH CA/4240/2022 IN X-
OBJST/30701/2021 WITH CA/4245/2022 IN X-OBJST/30703/2021 WITH
CA/4293/2022 IN FA/1078/2018 WITH CA/4242/2022 IN X-OBJST/31539/2021
WITH CA/4241/2022 IN X-OBJST/31541/2021 WITH CA/4236/2022 IN X-
OBJST/31966/2021

CORAM : SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.
DATE : 5th APRIL, 2022

PER COURT :

1. These are the applications for condonation of delay moved on behalf of the claimants.
2. Heard Mr A.B. Kale, learned counsel for the objection petitioners, Mrs Kalpalata Patil Bharaswadkar, learned counsel for respondent No. 3/acquiring body and Mr S.N. Morampalle, learned AGP for the State.
3. It is evident from the record that the acquiring body has already preferred the appeals against the respective Judgment and award passed by the Reference Court. The claimants intend to file cross-objections in respective appeals. However, there was certain delay and that is why, the claimants have preferred the applications for condonation of delay.

4. Having regard to the nature of dispute, the delay needs to be condoned.

ORDER

- (i) The applications for condonation of delay in filing the cross-objections are hereby allowed.
- (ii) The registry to make scrutiny of the cross-objections as per procedure and those be numbered and placed before the Court along with the first appeals.
- (iii) The civil applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.)

mta