

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO.12946 OF 2021
WITH WP/12944/2021 WITH WP/12945/2021**

**AMOL KASHINATH NISHANE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. A. D. Shinde
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 & 2: Mr. K. N. Lokhande

....

**CORAM : C. V. BHADANG AND
SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, JJ.**

DATED : 14 JULY 2022.

....

P. C. :

All these three petitions can be conveniently disposed of by this common order.

2. The approval sought for the appointment of the petitioners, has been turned down by the respondent -Education Officer on account of the fact that the respondent- management had failed to comply with the deficiencies as pointed out in the impugned communication dated 14 October 2021. The said communication points out in all eleven deficiencies.

3. The learned counsel for the respondent - management states that the management shall comply with the deficiencies / requirements and

or shall submit a fresh proposal within a period of three weeks from today.

4. In such circumstances, the petitions are allowed.

5. The impugned order is hereby set aside permitting the respondent - management to comply with the deficiencies and or to send a fresh proposal for approval to the respondent - Education Officer. If such a proposal is received, the Education Officer shall process and decide the same in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks thereafter.

6. The petitions are disposed of in the above said terms.

SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

C. V. BHADANG, J.