

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO.10 OF 2018

Iqbal Gulam Tamboli & anr. ... APPLICANTS

VERSUS

Tajopddin Abdul Gani Inamdar & ors. ... RESPONDENTS

.....
Mr. Mobin H. Shaikh, Advocate for applicants
Mr. H.I. Pathan, Advocate for R.No.1 to 5
.....

WITH

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO.212 OF 2017

Masjid Devasthan, Atpadi & ors. ... APPLICANTS

VERSUS

Firoz Dilwar Khatik & ors. ... RESPONDENTS

.....
Mr. H.I. Pathan, Advocate for applicants
Mr. Mobin H. Shaikh, Advocate for R.No.3 and 4
Mr. Y.B. Pathan, Advocate for R.No.10
.....

CORAM : R. G. AVACHAT, J.

DATE : 5th SEPTEMBER, 2022.

PER COURT :

Heard. The challenge in both these revision applications is to the orders refusing to grant interim

injunction. Both the applications were decided by common order dated 19/7/2017. Over five years have passed thereafter. It would be desirable that the main suits are decided on merits. Even pending these Civil Revision Applications, the suits could have been decided. Instead of deciding these Civil Revision Applications on merits, the Civil Revision Applications stand disposed of with a request to the Wakf Tribunal to decide both the suits, being Suit No.84/2015 and 76/2016 within a time frame of one year from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

**(R. G. AVACHAT)
JUDGE**

fmp/-