

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**81 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2837 OF 2020
IN FAST/29396/2018 WITH CA/2838/2020 IN FAST/29398/2018**

**SANTRAM DATTU MALI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. More Abhijit S.
AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. B. V. Virdhe

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 19/12/2022.

PER COURT :

Heard rival submissions. The respective applicants in both these applications are seeking condonation of delay periods as mentioned therein. The learned AGP strongly opposed the applications on the ground that there is also circulation delay. The Acquiring Body in these applications though served, but remained absent.

2. However considering the statutory right of the applicants, the delay periods in both these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid period of delay and also for the delay in circulating the matter belatedly.

3. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

4. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

...

shp/-