

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

923 WRIT PETITION NO.11936 OF 2022

**MANISH GORAKHNATH TANDALE AND OTHER
VERSUS
PROJECT DIRECTOR NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY AND OTHER**

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Kamlakar J. Suryawanshi
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. B.M. Dhanure
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL AND
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.**

DATE : 02.12.2022

PER COURT :

Leave granted to delete the name of respondent No.4.

2. Learned advocate for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are claiming a share in the compensation determined by the competent authority under the National Highways Act. He submits that the competent authority, in view of the dispute has written to the respondent No.3 who is the Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, Aurangabad, by communication dated 13.11.2019, 23.11.2020 and 22.12.2020 requesting for certain inquiry and a report. Still the respondent No.3 has not responded.

3. The learned advocate for the respondent No.2 submits that they are waiting for the report by the respondent No.3 pursuant to the request made by the competent authority.

4. The learned AGP submits that the respondent No.3 will have to respond to this communication.

5. The prayer is innocuous. We allow the writ petition and direct the respondent No.3 to respond to the communications received by him from the respondent No.2 - Competent Authority referred to herein above as early as possible and in any case within twelve weeks.

(Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

habeeb