

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14037 OF 2021
IN SAST/37264/2019
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14039 OF 2021
IN SAST/37264/2019**

**SHIVRAM VITHOBA TALANGKAR LRS. GANESH SHIVRAM TALANGKAR
LRS. SARASVATIBAI GANESHTALANGKAR AND ORS.
VERSUS
UMAJI MADHAV PIMPALE DIED LRS. PADMINABAI UMAJI PIMPALE AND
OTHERS**

...
Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Amol Gandhi h/f. Mr. Mandlik Pratap P.

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : 14.01.2022

PER COURT :

Heard the learned advocate Mr. Gandhi holding for Mr. Mandlik.

2. He points out that the mistake, which was called upon to be removed by the office by way of an office objection was in fact an error which has perpetuated in mentioning wrong name in the judgments of both the courts below. The appellant was not supposed to correct such an error and it was for the office to take appropriate steps.

3. For the reasons mentioned in the Application, it is allowed. The delay is condoned. The Second Appeal is restored to the file. Office to take appropriate steps for correction of the names.

4. Civil Application No.14039/2021 has been filed for bringing on

record the legal representatives of appellant No.2 Ganesh Shivram Talangkar. After registration of Appeal, the Civil Application also stand allowed and even the delay caused for taking the legal heirs on record, is condoned. Necessary amendment is allowed to be carried out in the Appeal Memo.

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

habeeb