

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

902 CRIMINAL PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.12 OF 2022

SUDARSHAN JEEVANRAO SALUNKE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Party In Person
PP for Respondents: Mr. D.R. Kale
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
Y.G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.
DATE : 07-12-2022**

PC. :-

The petitioner had initiated this P.I.L. in view of the newspaper report indicating that in spite of a lady having died in suspicious circumstances the police machinery was not taking the incident seriously and was brushing under the carpet by attributing it as a suicide.

2. We have heard the petitioner in person and the learned public prosecutor and perused the charge-sheet. Irrespective of the allegations, during the pendency of the P.I.L. the investigation has been undertaken and the chemical report was also obtained and in due course of time charge-sheet has been filed for the offences punishable under Section 302, 306, 354, 376(a) (d) read with Section 34 of the I.P.C. *Ex facie* going by the transcript

of the audio conversation which the deceased apparently had with her cousin whose statement under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. has been recorded as also the statement of eye witness child recorded under Section 161 as well as 164, the purpose of filing writ petition, in our considered view, has been fulfilled.

3. Let the trial Court now ponder upon and decide it expeditiously.
The P.I.L. is disposed of.

[Y.G. KHOBRADE, J.]

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]