

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**921 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14725 OF 2022
IN FA/3457/2011 WITH CA/2305/2020 IN FA/3457/2011
WITH CA/14726/2022 IN FA/3457/2011**

**MANJUSHA VINAYAK JIRWANKAR (DIED) THROUGH LRS.
VINAYAK SHANKARAPPA JIRWANKAR AND OTHERS
VERSUS
JAGIRSINGH DHARAMSINGH RANDHVA AND ANOTHER**

...

Mr. H.I. Pathan, Advocate holding for Mr. Bharat Gadegaonkar,
Advocate for the applicants.

Mr. V.R. Mundada, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 18.10.2022.

ORDER:-

1. Heard the rival submissions. The applicants are seeking service to respondent No.1 through paper publication. It appears that before the learned Tribunal also, the respondent No.1 remained absent, despite service. In view of the same and for curtailing the delay, the application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (B) subject to payment of necessary charges by the applicants directly to the concerned newspaper.

2. The application is disposed of.

3. Place the appeal to 13.12.2022.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)