

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

910 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.667 OF 2022

IN FA/1908/2016 WITH FA/503/2017 WITH CA/12682/2019 IN
FAST/14332/2019 WITH FA/5137/2017 WITH CA/16220/2010 IN
FA/1650/2018 WITH CA/12680/2019 IN FAST/14329/2019 WITH
FA/504/2017 WITH FA/1542/2008 WITH FA/1192/2017 WITH
CA/16215/2010 IN FA/1649/2018 WITH FA/356/2009 WITH
FA/1042/2016 WITH FA/1541/2008 WITH FA/1539/2008 WITH
CA/3929/2016 IN FA/4478/2017 WITH CA/13088/2021 IN FA/1013/2017
WITH CA/12681/2019 IN FAST/14332/2019 WITH FA/1538/2008 WITH
CA/16218/2010 IN FA/1652/2018 WITH CA/12684/2019 IN
FAST/14335/2019 WITH FA/1562/2008 WITH CA/16226/2010 IN
FA/1655/2018 WITH CA/7858/2019 IN FA/1908/2016 WITH
CA/16232/2010 IN FA/1656/2018 WITH CA/4758/2018 IN FA/1908/2016
WITH FA/5136/2017 WITH FA/1655/2018 WITH CA/12683/2019 IN
FAST/14335/2019 WITH CA/16224/2010 IN FA/1653/2018 WITH
CA/16228/2010 IN FA/1654/2018 WITH CA/1789/2018 IN FA/1908/2016
WITH CA/16222/2010 IN FA/1651/2018 WITH FA/1041/2016 WITH
CA/12679/2019 IN FAST/14329/2019 WITH FA/1540/2008 WITH
CA/16230/2010 IN FA/1657/2018 WITH CA/1787/2018 IN FA/1908/2016

HANUMANT TULJARAM GOJAMGUNDE (DIED) THR HIS LRS SHIVAJI
HANUMANT GOJAMGUNDE

VERSUS

THE CHIEF OFFICER MAHARASHTRA HOUSING AND AREA
DEVELOPMENT, AURANGABAD AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Venjane Tukaram M.
Advocate for Respondent No. 1 : Mr. Sanghmitra Wadmare
AGP for Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 : Mr. K. N. Lokhande

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SANDEEP V. MARNE, JJ.

DATE : 05.08.2022.

PER COURT :

In the first appeals, the original claimants are seeking to withdraw the entire amount of compensation. Already by specific orders they were permitted to withdraw 50% of the amount and the balance 50% was

directed to be deposited as a fixed deposit.

2. It is true that in some matters which were subsequently clubbed with these first appeals, the claimants therein were permitted to withdraw 75% of the amount of compensation.

3. However, fact remains that in the present matters, specific orders were passed by this Court long back and it would be a matter of impropriety if some modification is to be made. The claimants have suffered those orders which were passed about three years back.

4. The Applications are disposed of.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

mkd/-