

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

903 WRIT PETITION NO.13836 OF 2021

**DEEPAK SHIVAJIRAO PATIL
VERSUS
THE UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS**

...

Mr V. P. Kadam, Advocate for petitioner;
Mr A. G. Talhar, ASGI for respondent Nos.1 to 4
Mr P. K. Lakhotiya, A.G.P. for respondent No.5
Mr Paresh B. Patil, Advocate for respondent No.6

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
S. G. DIGE, JJ.**

DATE : 13th April, 2022

PER COURT:

1. This matter was heard for quite sometime. Considering the writ of mandamus and the prayers put forth, especially at Clauses (A) and (B), we expressed our disinclination.
2. The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits after a pass over, upon taking instructions, that the petitioner desires to withdraw this petition, so as to avail of a statutory remedy as may be permissible in law.
3. In view of the above, this petition is dismissed, as withdrawn.

(S. G. DIGE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)