

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**121 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11417/2021 IN FAST/24621/2019  
WITH**

**CA/12439/2021 IN FAST/24644/2019,  
CA/9682/2021 IN FAST/24644/2019,  
CA/4517/2020 IN FAST/24644/2019,  
CA/12379/2021 IN FAST/24647/2019,  
CA/12384/2021 IN FAST/24621/2019,  
CA/11418/2021 IN FAST/24647/2019,  
CA/12438/2021 IN FAST/24644/2019**

**MEENA C. MINAKSHI SHAHURAJ DHAVAL AND OTHERS  
VERSUS  
MADHAVRAO SHAMRAO MUSANDE THR. LRS DEELIP AND  
OTHERS**

**...  
Advocate for Applicants : Mr. R. V. Patil  
Advocate for Respondent No.1A : Mr. Kendre N.D.  
AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. B. V. Virdhe  
Advocate for Respondent : Mr. H. P. Kshirsagar  
...**

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.**

**DATE : 21.11.2022**

**PER COURT :**

All the applications in these applications being the legal heirs of the legal representatives of deceased Madhavrao Musande are seeking permission to add themselves as party respondent, since only one legal representative of deceased Madhavrao i.e. Dilip Madhavrao Musande was brought on record during the course of land reference. All these applicants are claiming that they are also having shares in

the compensation amount.

2. On the contrary, the learned counsel for the respondent Dilip Madhavrao Musande submits that only Dilip is having share in the compensation since there was partition between himself and the other legal representatives of deceased Madhavrao. However, irrespective of allegations made by Dilip and other representatives against each other, the applicants can be added as party respondent in the present appeals for proper adjudication.

3. Accordingly, all these applications are allowed and the Acquiring Body is directed to carry out the amendment accordingly within the stipulated period.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)  
JUDGE

.....

shp/-