

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO. 200 OF 2021
WITH WP/209/2021 WITH WP/213/2021
WITH WP/216/2021 WITH WP/247/2021

Raghavendra Shrinivasrao Deshpande

....Petitioner

Versus

Matoshri Pratishthan Through Its President/
Secretary and Others

....Respondents

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Pramod Gaikwad h/f. Mr. A.G. Talhar
Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 : Mr. R.B. Narwade-Patil
Advocate for Respondent No. 3 : Mr. N.U. Telegaonkar
Advocate for Respondent No. 4 : Mrs. Anjali Dube (Bajpai)
Advocate for Respondent No. 4 in WP/213/21 : Mr. P.P. Uttarwar
Advocate for Respondent No. 4 in WP/216/21 : Mr. S.S. Shinde
AGP for Respondent No. 6 : Mr. P.K. Lakhotiya

CORAM : A.S. GADKARI & S. G. MEHARE, JJ.

DATE : 01.02.2022

P.C. :-

1. The issues involved in the present petitions, including the question of law, have been decided by the co-ordinate Bench presided over by the Hon'ble Chief Justice in Writ Petition Nos. 217/2021 and 240/2021, by its order dated 28.09.2021. The reasoning given in the said order dated 28.09.2021, is *mutatis mutandis* applicable to the present group of petitions as the petitioners are similarly situated and

governed by the same provisions of law.

There is a consensus amongst all the learned counsel appearing for the respective parties that, the decision rendered by the co-ordinate Bench in Writ Petition Nos. 217/2021 and 240/2021, squarely applies to the present cases.

2. In view thereof and by following the decision in Writ Petition Nos. 217/2021 and 240/2021, we find that, there is no valid reason to interdict with the inquiry.

3. Writ Petitions are accordingly dismissed with liberty to the petitioners as noted in the order dated 28.09.2021.

4. Needless to observe that, the Presiding Officer of the Tribunal will proceed to decide the appeals pending before him without being influenced by dismissal of these Writ Petitions.

(S. G. MEHARE, J.)

(A.S. Gadkari, J.)