

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.365 OF 2017

NAZIMUDDIN YAFEUDDIN KAZI
VERSUS
MEENA SUSHIL AGRAWAL AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. A.P. Bhandari
Advocate for Respondents No. 11 to 13, 17, 19 to 26: Mr.
Gajendra Jain h/f. Mr. D.B. Thoke

.....

[CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]

DATE : 17th AUGUST, 2022

ORDER :

1. The learned advocate for the respondents states that since the suit itself is decided, the present petition has become infructuous.
2. The learned advocate for the petitioner on the other hand states that direction to the petitioner to deposit court fee is questioned in the present petition. The plaint is rejected for not depositing the court fee. The petitioner has already filed appeal challenging rejection of plaint.
3. Keeping the contentions and points raised by the petitioner open, writ petition is disposed of.

[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]