

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**939 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13545 OF 2021
IN FAST/26907/2021**

**TUKHARAM SAKHARAM CHAVAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR,
AURANGABAD AND OTHERS**

**AND
940 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13547 OF 2021
IN FAST/32385/2021**

**SARUBAI W/O SAHEBRAO CHAVAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR,
AURANGABAD AND OTHERS**

**AND
941 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13548 OF 2021
IN FAST/32406/2021**

**BHAUSAHEB S/O SAHEBRAO CHAVAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR,
JALNA AND OTHERS**

**AND
942 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13549 OF 2021
IN FAST/32614/2021**

**MADAN UTTAMRAO CHAVAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR,
JALNA AND OTHERS**

**AND
943 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13550 OF 2021
IN FAST/32618/2021 WITH CA/13551/2021 IN FAST/33103/2021**

**SHRIPATI S/O RAOSAHEB CHAVAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR,
AURANGABAD AND OTHERS**

AND

944 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13552 OF 2021
IN FAST/32379/2021

SAGARBAI W/O BHAUSAHEB CHAVAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR,
AURANGABAD AND OTHERS

AND
945 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13553 OF 2021
IN FAST/32390/2021

RAJU S/O SHANKAR UNMUKHE (DIED) THROUGH LRS SOMNATH
AND ORS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR,
AURANGABAD AND OTHERS

AND
946 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13555 OF 2021
IN FAST/32387/2021

PRABHAKAR S/O SAHEBRAO CHAVAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR,
JALNA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. K.B. Jadhav, Advocate for the applicants.
Mr. S.R. Yadav-Lonikar, Mr. A.A. Jagatkar and Mr. P.N. Kutti,
AGPs for respondent – State in respective matters.
Mrs. S.G. Bhalerao, Mr. Anand Chaware and Mrs. Chaitali
Kutti and Mr. Virendra Tuwar, Advocate holding for Mrs.
Sunita Shelka, Advocates for the Acquiring Body in respective
matters.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 27.09.2022.

ORDER:-

1. Heard the rival submissions. All these applications are filed by respective applicants – claimants for condonation

of different delay periods as mentioned in those applications. The delay appears to be caused due to poor financial condition of the applicants. Learned A.G.P. as well as concerned learned Counsel for the Acquiring Body strongly opposed the applications on the ground that delay is not properly explained. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants the respective delay periods as mentioned in all these applications are hereby condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid delay periods.

2. The respective appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.
3. All the civil applications stand disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)