

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1241 OF 2022

Sharad Mukundrao Siraskar ...Applicant

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr. D.M. Shinde, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. N.T. Bhagat, APP for State.

.....

[CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]

DATE : 10th OCTOBER, 2022

ORDER :

1. By order dated 30.09.2022, Superintendent of Police, Parbhani was directed to remain present today. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Parbhani, Shri. Bramhadev Gavade, is present with instructions. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor on instructions from him submits that due to Eid-e-Milad and Kojagiri Pournima *bandobast*, Superintendent of Police, Parbhani could not remain present.

2. It was the duty of Superintendent of Police, Parbhani to remain present in this Court. Since, this is first time, this Court is not taking serious view of the matter. The

Superintendent of Police, Parbhani is cautioned, not to repeat this.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that charge sheet is already filed in all three matters and therefore, he is instructed by Deputy Superintendent of Police, Parbhani that applicant's custody is not needed in the present crime. In that view of the matter, accepting the statement, application is allowed in terms of interim order dated 30.09.2022.

[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]