

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**3 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13189 OF 2022
IN WP/12365/2019**

**KARMAVEER PRATISHTHAN JALNA
THRO ITS SECRETARY AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. S.V. Dixit
AGP for Respondent / State : Mr. K.N. Lokhande
Advocate for Respondent No.5 : Ms. Sayali S. Tekale h/f.
Mr. S.G. Chapalgaonkar
Advocate for Respondent No.6 : Mr. Milind Patil
Advocate for Respondent No.7 : Mr. P.R. Katneshwarkar h/f.
Mr. Pratik A. Bhosle

...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SANDEEP V. MARNE, JJ.**

DATE : 19-09-2022

PER COURT :

. Heard both the sides.

2. The writ petition was dismissed in default for non removal of the office objections. There is some delay in seeking its restoration.

3. For the reasons mentioned in the Civil Application, it is allowed. The delay of 60 days is condoned. The Writ petition is restored.

4. Considering the fact that interim relief was in operation till the date of dismissal of the writ petition, we feel it appropriate that the *status quo* is maintained in the same terms and conditions subject to which the interim relief was granted in the writ petition and putting the petitioner to condition that it shall be ready for final disposal.

5. Stand over to 12.10.2022 at 02:30 p.m.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)