

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

283 WRIT PETITION NO.10071 OF 2022

VISHWAKARMA SAW MILL,
THROUGH ITS DIRECTOR VAISHALI DINESH BADGUJAR
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Sanket N. Suryawanshi.

AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. G. Karlekar.

Advocate for Respondent/UOI : Ms. Sudha Kulthe.

...

CORAM : **RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.**

DATE : 14th November, 2022.

P.C.:

1. Leave to correct prayer clauses 'B' and 'D'. Correction be carried out forthwith.

2 The petitioner has put forth prayer clauses 'B' and 'C' as under :-

"B. To direct the respondent no.6, the State Empowerment Committee to hear and decide the pending application No. SLC 54/19 and for that purpose issue necessary directions.

C. To direct the Respondent department to temporarily restart the functioning of the petitioner Saw Mills pending the final decision in Application No. SLC-54/19 and for that purpose issue necessary orders."

2. The standing advocate for the Union of India submits that respondent No.6 would follow the due procedure and conclude the proceedings as is expected by this Court.

3. Considering the prayer, we are of the view that it would be appropriate to call upon respondent No.6 to decide the pending application No.SLC 54/19 by following the due procedure laid down in law and preferably, on or before 15th March, 2023. Hence, ordered accordingly.

4. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off.

nga

[SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.]

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.]