

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

20 WRIT PETITION NO.798 OF 2022

**DIGAMBAR IRBA GURUPWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

....

Mr P. S. Anerao, Advocate for petitioner;

Mr P. S. Patil, A.G.P. for respondent Nos.1 & 2

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.**

DATE : 5th December, 2022

PER COURT:

1. We have heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner and the learned A.G.P.

2. The petitioner prays for pensionary benefits. The learned A.G.P. submits that, as the proposal was defective, the Management was called upon to remove the deficiencies, vide communication dated 06/08/2020. The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the Management of the School has removed the deficiencies and accordingly, conveyed to the Deputy Director of Education, Latur, vide communication dated 29/09/2020, that the proposal of the petitioner can be processed.

(2)

He, therefore, submits that the concerned authority may be directed to act on the said communication.

3. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off.

4. We expect respondent No.2 to consider the communication dated 29/09/2020, and in the event of there being no legal impediment, the pension proposal of the petitioner be processed, as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of two months from today. Needless to state, if any further deficiencies are noticed, respondent No.2 would issue appropriate intimation to the Education Officer to ensure that the Management removes the deficiencies expeditiously.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

sjk