

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13059 OF 2022 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 23856 OF 2022**

Shriram General Insurance Co.Ltd. Applicant

Versus

Piraji Chimnaji Sakhalikar & others Respondents

Mr. S. S. Rathi, advocate for the Applicant

Mr. V. D. Patnurkar, advocate for Respondent No.1.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 22nd November, 2022.

PC :

1 This Court, vide order dated 16.11.2022, had directed the office to accept *Vakalatnama* of Shri Patnurkar, advocate on behalf of respondent no.1. However, it is brought to the notice of this Court by the office that as per the rules framed for e-filing, no document can be accepted physically. In view of the same, the aforesaid direction from the order dated 16.11.2022, shall stands removed. The learned Counsel for respondent no.1 is now directed to appear on behalf of respondent no.1 by online procedure according to e-filing. The

Vakalatnama filed on record on behalf of respondent no.1 be returned to the concerned advocate.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

adb

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13060 OF 2022 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 23856 OF 2022**

Shriram General Insurance Co.Ltd. Applicant

Versus

Piraji Chimnaji Sakhalikar & others Respondents

Mr. S. S. Rathi, advocate for the Applicant

Mr. V. D. Patnurkar, advocate for Respondent No.1.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 22nd November, 2022.

PC :

Heard rival submissions.

The record shows that the appellant-Insurance Company has deposited amount of compensation before the learned trial Court.

As such, Stay Application is made absolute in terms of prayer clause “B” and disposed of accordingly.

**(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE**