

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**920 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.530 OF 2022
IN FAST/18451/2019**

**VITHAL MARUTIRAO PITHALEWAD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR,
NANDED AND OTHERS**

...

Mr. Mahesh Patil and Mr. R.P. Adgaonkar (consent not obtained), Advocates for the applicant.

Mr. A.A. Jagatkar, A.G.P. for respondent – State.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 27.07.2022.

ORDER:-

1. The remark in respect of “consent not obtained” from the earlier Advocate by the learned Counsel for the applicant appearing on the board, is dispensed with since the earlier Advocate is reported dead, as submitted at bar.

2. By this application, the applicant is praying for setting aside order dated 24 August 2021 passed by the learned Registrar (Judicial) of this Court, whereby registration of First Appeal (St) No. 18451 of 2021 alongwith Civil Application (St) No.18452 of 2019 has been refused for non removal of office objections. The learned Counsel for the

applicant submits that if the impugned order is set aside, then he is ready to remove the office objections within two weeks.

3. In view of the above and for the reasons mentioned in the application, the application is hereby allowed and the order dated 24.08.2021 passed by learned Registrar (Judicial) of this Court is set aside and First Appeal (St) No. 18451 of 2021 alongwith Civil Application (St) No.18452 of 2019 shall be restored, subject to removal of office objections, within two weeks.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)