

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.9711 OF 2018

NARAYAN CHANDU KASBE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

Mr.S.B.Ghatol Patil, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.P.S.Patil, AGP for respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr.V.H.Dighe, Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.
Mr.D.B.Gaikwad, Advocate for respondent No.5.
Mr.N.B.Khandare, Advocate for respondent No.6.

(CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
ARUN R. PEDNEKER, JJ.)

DATE : OCTOBER 06, 2022

PER COURT :

1. The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits on instructions that the petitioner had challenged the election of respondent No.6. During the pendency of this petition, respondent No.6 has been disqualified under the APMC Act/Rules. He, therefore, submits that as the election has been set aside and as the term / elected tenure of the petitioner, which he would have normally enjoyed, is already over, this petition is worked out.

2. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)