

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.10474 OF 2021

LUBNA SHAHEEN SHAIKH NAZIR AHMED
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE SECRETARY AND
ANOTHER

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Ashok R. Tapse
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. A.S. Shinde
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL AND
SANDEEP V. MARNE, JJ.**

DATE : 26.07.2022

PER COURT :

Heard both the sides. The petitioner for the time being only presses the prayer clause (C) which reads as under:

C. By issuing writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ in the like nature, the respondent No.2 may please be directed to decide the representation/application dated 16.03.2021 submitted by the petitioner as early as possible in the interest of justice.

2. It is a matter of date of approval to be accorded to the appointment of the petitioner as an assistant teacher.

3. It appears that the petitioner has been relying upon the decision of this Court in the matter of **Suryakant Janardan Muge Vs. State of Maharashtra in Writ Petition No.1493/2018 with connected Writ Petitions on 04.07.2019** and particularly paragraph No.23. She has also submitted

representation (Exhibit P-7) dated 16.03.2021 which is pending before the Education Officer, Zilla Parishad, Beed.

4. In the light of the dispute being raised and the decision of this Court we feel it appropriate to direct the Education Officer to take some decision on petitioner's representation dated 16.03.2021.

5. The Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to take decision on the petitioner's representation within a period of 6 weeks.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

habeeb