

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

70 WRIT PETITION NO.9442 OF 2022

**ANKUSH HANUMANT THAKROD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND
OTHERS**

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. G.K. Chinchole h/f. Mr. S.M. Vibhute
AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. S.K. Tambe

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
ARUN R. PEDNEKER, JJ.**

DATED : OCTOBER 4th, 2022.

PER COURT :

1. The petitioner has put forth prayer clauses 'B' and 'C' as under :-

B) The respondent No. 3 and 4 may kindly be directed to issue Mark Sheets of all semester (Semester-III to Semester-VIII), Degree Certificate, Passing Certificate and other necessary original documents of Engineering (E & TC) course, which the petitioner is entitled, if the petitioner is otherwise eligible and entitled, without insisting for Tribe Validity Certificate of the petitioner.

C) Pending hearing and final disposal of this Writ Petition, respondent No. 3 and 4 may kindly be directed to issue Mark Sheets of all semester (Semester-III to Semester-VIII), Degree Certificate, Passing Certificate and other necessary original documents of Engineering (E & TC) course, which the petitioner is entitled, if the petitioner is otherwise eligible and entitled, without insisting for Tribe Validity Certificate of the petitioner.

2. The petitioner claims to have passed his Engineering course (Electronic and Telecommunication Engineering) in 2017 and has not taken up any employment since his mark sheets from the third

semester till the eighth semester, degree certificate, passing certificate and original documents have been withheld on account of lack of validity. After his completing entire diploma course, he got admission in the second year of the engineering and that is how, he has become engineer. He secured admissions on the basis of his claim of belonging to a backward community.

3. The learned AGP submits that the Vigilance Enquiry is pending and it would take around 5-6 months for concluding the case of the petitioner, keeping in view the heavy workload of time bound cases, in which the High Court has granted time line.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that if his claim is decided before 30th December, 2022, he might be able to get admission to the post graduation course.

5. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off. We deem it appropriate to direct the Committee to decide the pending proposal of the petitioner, which has been submitted on 17.10.2014, on or before 30th December, 2022. We will not be granting any extension to the Committee.

6. The petitioner would render whole hearted cooperation to the Committee and would not seek adjournment on unreasonable or trivial grounds, lest the Committee would be at liberty to progress to the next stage in the proceeding.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER J.]

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.]