

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

901 WRIT PETITION NO.9378 OF 2022

**LOKMANYA TILAK BAHU-UDDEESHIYA SHIKSHAN
PRASARAK MANDAL THROUGH ITS PRESIDENT
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS
SECRETARY AND ANOTHER**

....

Mr K. P. Rodge, Advocate for petitioner;
Mr S. K. Tambe, A.G.P. for respondents/State

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
ARUN R. PEDNEKER, JJ.**

DATE : 23rd September, 2022

PER COURT:

1. The petitioner has put forth prayer clauses (B) and (C),
which read as under :-

“B. By a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or direction in the like nature, the respondent no.2 or any other competent authority may kindly be directed to forthwith decide the proposal dated 24.07.2019 at Exhibit ‘B’ and hand over the closed down Hostel i.e. Kai. Vasantrao Naik Vidyarthi Vastigriha, Jalkot Road, Udgir, Dist.: Latur and permit the petitioner to run the said hostel in the name and style of Shriram Backward Class Vastigriha at village Lamjana, Tq.: Ausa, Dist.: Latur;

C. Pending hearing and final disposal of this writ petition, the respondents may kindly be directed to take appropriate decision on the proposal of the petitioner-society for allotment of closed down Hostel i.e. Kai.

(2)

Vasantrao Naik Vidyarthi Vastigriha, Jalkot Road, Udgir to the petitioner for running the same at village Lamjana, Tq.: Ausa, Dist.: Latur;”

2. The learned A.G.P. submits that, there are around 215 such proposals. If there are no legal impediments and in the event, there is no order passed by any Court, restraining the Government from considering such proposals, the State would deal with the same, strictly as per the policies and the procedure applicable, within six months.

3. The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that, certain infrastructural facilities have been provided. With the available infrastructure, the deficiencies stand removed. The petitioner is desirous of an expeditious decision.

4. Considering the above, this petition is disposed off, with a direction that the respondents would act in coordination with each other and would decide the proposals of the similarly situated institutions along with the petitioner, in the event of there being no legal impediment, as expeditiously as possible and in any case, on or before 31/03/2023.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)
sjk

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)