

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**908 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13057 OF 2021
IN FAST/23974/2021**

WITH

CA/13066/2021 IN FAST/24055/2021
CA/13067/2021 IN FAST/24051/2021
CA/13068/2021 IN FAST/24045/2021
CA/13059/2021 IN FAST/24025/2021
CA/13058/2021 IN FAST/24028/2021
CA/13061/2021 IN FAST/24031/2021
CA/13062/2021 IN FAST/24022/2021
CA/13065/2021 IN FAST/24058/2021
CA/13069/2021 IN FAST/24039/2021
CA/13063/2021 IN FAST/24019/2021
CA/13064/2021 IN FAST/24016/2021
CA/13060/2021 IN FAST/24060/2021

JEEVAN VITHAL SHERE

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE COLLECTOR, LATUR AND
OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Sakolkar V.G.

and Mr Nandagavale H.B.

AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. P. N. Kutti

Advocate for Respondent No. 2 : Mr. S. B. Patil

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 30.08.2022

PER COURT :

. Heard rival submissions.

2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay of 632 days in all these applications. They claimed that the said delay

was due to late receipt of compensation amount. The learned AGP as well as the learned counsel for respondent – acquiring body strongly opposed the applications.

3. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants, the aforesaid period of delay stands condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid period of delay. Accordingly, the applications are disposed of.

4. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

.....

shp/-