

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**51 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13543 OF 2018
IN FAST/23745/2018**

**GORAKH DIGAMBAR RATHOD
VERSUS
SHIVRAMKRISHNA PULUSU NAGBHUSHANAM AND ANOTHER**

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. A. G. Vasmatkar and
Mr. Nitin P. Wangikar
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. Rahul M. Gaikwad h/f.
Mr. M. M. Ambhore

...
CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 19/12/2022.

PER COURT :

The bailiff report indicates that respondent No. 1 remained unserved since he refused to accept the service. As such, respondent No. 1 is treated as served.

2. Heard rival submissions. The applicant is seeking condonation of delay of 57 days which appears to be caused due to his financial crisis. The learned Tribunal has rejected the claim petition. In view of the same and for the reasons stated in the application, the delay of 57 days stands condoned.

3. The appeal be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

4. The application is accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

.....

shp/-