

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

911 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13165 OF 2021
IN FAST/23817/2021

WITH

CA/13180/2021 IN FAST/23898/2021 WITH
CA/13181/2021 IN FAST/23882/2021 WITH
CA/13178/2021 IN FAST/23853/2021 WITH
CA/13175/2021 IN FAST/23888/2021 WITH
CA/13170/2021 IN FAST/23855/2021 WITH
CA/13173/2021 IN FAST/23892/2021 WITH
CA/13177/2021 IN FAST/23896/2021 WITH
CA/13176/2021 IN FAST/23867/2021 WITH
CA/13179/2021 IN FAST/23876/2021 WITH
CA/13172/2021 IN FAST/23878/2021 WITH
CA/13169/2021 IN FAST/23860/2021 WITH
CA/13174/2021 IN FAST/23857/2021 WITH
CA/13168/2021 IN FAST/23862/2021 WITH
CA/13171/2021 IN FAST/23872/2021 WITH
CA/13167/2021 IN FAST/23851/2021

TUKARAM RAMANNA HULSURE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE COLLECTOR, LATUR AND
OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Sakolkar V. G.
and Mr. Nandagavale H.B.

AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. P. N. Kutti
Advocate for Respondent No. 2 : Mr. S. B. Patil

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 30.08.2022

PER COURT :

. Heard rival submissions.

2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay of 631 days in all these applications. They claimed that the said delay was due to late receipt of compensation amount. The learned AGP as well as learned counsel for Acquiring Body strongly opposed the applications.

3. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants, the aforesaid period of delay stands condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid periods of delay. Accordingly, the applications are disposed of.

4. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

.....

shp/-