

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

907 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2248 OF 2012 IN FAST/23995/2011
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2247 OF 2012 IN FAST/23941/2011

BASWESHWAR NANASAHEB PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHRASHTRA AND ANR

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. H. B. Nandagavale
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Mr. P. N. Kutti
....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 18/08/2022.

....

P. C. :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The applicants are seeking condonation of delay of 1216 days in challenging the respective impugned awards, which was caused since the amounts of awards were deposited belatedly.
3. The learned AGP strongly opposed the applications on the ground that despite service to the respondents, the applicants did not take any effort for urgent circulation and therefore, the period spent in taking such circulation, shall also be taken into consideration for not granting any interest.
4. In view of the same and for the reasons stated in the applications, the delay of 1216 days in both the applications, stands condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid period of delay. Further, in respect of the delay period in taking late circulation i.e. in the year

2021 after year 2014, the point is kept open as to whether the interest amount can also be denied as submitted by the learned AGP. Accordingly, the applications are disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

VS Maind/-