

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

37 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1467 OF 2020

UPENDRA GUNWANTRAO MULEY

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. A.S. Gandhi, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. D.R. Kale, PP for respondent Nos.1 to 3

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
RAJESH S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 25th JULY, 2022

PER COURT :

1 After hearing both sides the learned Advocate for the petitioner, when this Court showed disinclination to grant the relief prayed in the petition, seeks withdrawal of the petition with liberty to approach the Magistrate in view of the permissible law.

2 Affidavit-in-reply shows that action has been taken on the basis of the First Information Report/complaint application filed by the present petitioner in some of the matters. If at all the petitioner feels that in some of the matters/incidents offence has not been registered, then, he is at liberty to

approach the concerned Magistrate by filing private complaint. Under such circumstance, the writ petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach to concerned Magistrate, if the law permits.

(Rajesh S. Patil, J)

(Smt. Vibha Kankanwadi, J.)

agd