

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**905 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1250 OF 2018
IN FAST/22632/2017**

**BHARTI SATISH SASANE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...

Mr. D.M. Pingle, Advocate for the applicants.
Mr. P.N. Kutti, A.G.P. for respondent – State.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 14.09.2022.

ORDER:-

1. Heard the rival submissions. The applicant is seeking condonation of delay of 423 days in filing the first appeal, which has been caused due to his poor financial condition. The learned A.G.P. strongly opposed the application on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay. The Respondent Acquiring Body, despite service, remained absent.

2. Considering the statutory right of the applicant and for the reasons stated in the application, the delay of 423 days stands condoned subject to condition that the applicant shall not claim any interest for the period of aforesaid delay.

3. The application is accordingly disposed of.
4. The appeal be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)