

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**930 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2117 OF 2019  
IN  
FAST/21572/2018**

**THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, MINOR IRRIGATION DIVISION,  
OSMANABAD AND OTHERS  
VERSUS  
MARUTI SITARAM GHOGRE**

...

Advocate for Applicants: Mr. S. V. Kurundkar  
Advocate for Respondent/Sole: Mr. V. V. Tarde

...

**CORAM: SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.**

**DATE: 08<sup>th</sup> APRIL, 2022**

**PER COURT:**

1. It is an application for condonation of delay moved by the applicants / acquiring body.

2. Heard Mr. Kurundkar, learned Counsel for the applicants / acquiring body and Mr. Tarde, learned Counsel for the claimant / respondent. He opposed to allow this application for condonation of delay. He submitted that no sufficient reasons are assigned for condonation of delay.

3. Having regard to the nature of dispute and for the reasons stated in the application for

condonation of delay in paragraph Nos.5 to 7, the delay needs to be condoned.

**ORDER**

[I] The application is hereby allowed in terms of prayer clause "8(B)".

[II] The Registry to make scrutiny of the appeal as per procedure and thereafter it be numbered and placed before the Court for admission.

4. Civil Application is accordingly disposed of.

**[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.]**

marathe